

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-11 are currently being amended. The claims have been amended to eliminate “means” language. Additionally, independent claims 1, 9 and 11 have been amended to incorporate features from original dependent claims 3-5, which have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter is being added.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-11 remain pending in this application.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

Claims 1 and 6-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,424,365 to Kimoto (“Kimoto”). Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto in view of U.S. 2003/0002891 to Metzler et al. (“Metzler”). Claims 3-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto in view of U.S. 2002/0176725 to Sato et al. (“Sato”). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for at least the following reasons.

Independent claim 1, as amended, recites:

An image forming apparatus having an automatic double-side unit and being capable of effecting printing on both surfaces of a paper sheet, comprising:

setting section which sets an adjustment mode at a time of effecting printing on both surfaces of the paper sheet;

first storage section which stores predetermined image data that is used in the adjustment mode set by the setting section;

first control section which executes a control to form an image on a first surface of the sheet using the image data stored in the first storage section, when the setting section sets the adjustment mode;

first sensor which measures a size of the image formed on the first surface of the sheet by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the first surface of the sheet, when the image formed on the first surface of the sheet is subjected to thermal fixation and conveyed;

second control section which executes a control to form an image on a second surface of the sheet using the image data stored in the first storage section, when the sheet is reversely fed by the automatic double-side unit;

second sensor which measures a size of the image formed on the second surface of the sheet by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the second surface of the sheet, when the image formed on the second surface of the sheet is subjected to thermal fixation and conveyed;

calculation section which calculates correction data for a printing magnification for image formation on the second surface of the sheet, on the basis of a speed of conveyance of the paper sheet, a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the first sensor, and a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the second sensor; and

second storage section which stores the correction data calculated by the calculation section.

Kimoto fails to disclose or suggest any of the above italicized features of claim 1, or their resulting advantage in allowing for the measurement and correction of the variation in the image size generated for double sided printing in association with heat shrinkage between the first surface and the second surface of the paper sheet.

Kimoto discloses a system where when an obverse surface (first surface) of a sheet is printed on, the copying sheet is displaced by a distance L1 in the direction perpendicular to the conveying direction (col. 6, lines 18-24), and when a reverse surface (second surface) of a sheet is printed on, the copying sheet is displaced by a distance L2 in the direction perpendicular to the conveying direction (col. 6, lines 45-67), where the displacement is corrected for in printing. In the Kimoto system, the displacements L1 and L2, which are disclosed as being inherent in a particular copying machine (See col. 7, lines 8-10), are measured at the time of shipping of the copying machine (main body L) by a person in charge (col. 7, lines 15-18), and the displacements are stored in a non-volatile memory 83 at that time (col. 7, lines 18-22). Kimoto further discloses a laser beam sensor 13, which senses a laser beam B, and which is disposed at a reference scan position Ps relative to a scan position Pa corresponding to an end of a photosensitive drum 20 (FIG. 2, col. 3, lines 51-55).

Kimoto, however, does not disclose any of the above italicized features of claim 1. First, Kimoto does not disclose that its laser beam sensor measures the displacement of its

sheet or image, much less the size of the images formed on the surfaces of the sheets by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the surfaces of the sheets. As mentioned above, Kimoto discloses that the displacements L1 and L2 are measured and stored at the time of shipping a copying machine, but does not disclose how the displacements are measured. Kimoto merely discloses determining the displacements L1 and L2, not the size of the images on its obverse and reverse surfaces, much less measuring the image size based on measuring a passage time. Claim 1 allows for the adjustment of magnification, a feature of size. Applicants respectfully submit that the displacement correction in Kimoto is not the same as size correction, and would not adjust for magnification. Displacement is not the same as size.

Moreover, nowhere does Kimoto suggest determining the size of an image formed on first and second sheet surfaces by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the surfaces of the sheets. Kimoto merely discloses that prior to shipping, displacements L1 and L2 are measured and stored, but does not disclose that the displacements are measured by measuring a passage of time of a predetermined image, much less to measure the size.

In sum, Kimoto does not disclose either a “first sensor which measures a size of the image formed on the first surface of the sheet by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the first surface of the sheet, when the image formed on the first surface of the sheet is subjected to thermal fixation and conveyed” or a “second sensor which measures a size of the image formed on the second surface of the sheet by measuring a passage time of the predetermined image formed on the second surface of the sheet, when the image formed on the second surface of the sheet is subjected to thermal fixation and conveyed” as recited in claim 1.

Moreover, because Kimoto does not employ sensors which measure a size of the images on its obverse and reverse sides of its sheets in the manner recited in claim 1, Kimoto necessarily does not disclose as recited in claim 1 a “calculation section which calculates correction data for a printing magnification for image formation on the second surface of the

sheet, on the basis of a speed of conveyance of the paper sheet, a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the first sensor, and a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the second sensor.” Kimoto does not disclose calculating such correction data based on all of (1) a speed of conveyance of the paper sheet, (2) a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the first sensor, and (3) a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the second sensor. Kimoto does not correct for a printing magnification, but only for displacement.

Metzler and Sato fail to cure the deficiencies of Kimoto. Metzler merely discloses using registration marks for measuring cross-talk aberrations in the context of color errors. Even if Kimoto were modified to include registration marks for measuring cross-talk aberrations in the context of color errors, the combination would not suggest the calculation section of claim 1, which calculates correction data for a printing magnification for image formation on a second surface of the sheet, on the basis of a speed of conveyance of the paper sheet, a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the first sensor, and a passage time of the predetermined image measured by the second sensor. Sato merely discloses multiple sensors to measure the position of the leading edge of a sheet with time, but does not disclose measuring the size of an image with its sensors, and fails to cure the deficiencies of Kimoto.

Moreover, Kimoto, Sato and Metzler do not suggest the advantages of the apparatus of claim 1 in allowing for the measurement and correction of the variation in the image size generated for double sided printing in association with heat shrinkage between the first surface and the second surface of the paper sheet. As noted above, Kimoto merely corrects for the displacement of a sheet due to the variance among machines (col. 6, line 17-24), but does not measure the size of the images on both surfaces of a sheet in double printing, or correct for such image size variation due to heat shrinkage between the surfaces.

Independent claims 9 and 11 include features corresponding to the above italicized features of claim 1, and are patentable for analogous reasons.

The dependent claims are patentable for at least the same reasons as their respective independent claims, as well as for further patentable features recited therein.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date November 20, 2008

By Pavan K. Agarwal

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 945-6162
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Pavan K. Agarwal
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 40,888

Thomas G. Bilodeau
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,438